# **Example 2 September 1996**

consortium

ENERGY

Presented by: Abraham Ellis and Jack Flicker, Sandia National Laboratories

**Integration and** 

**Validation**

# **Organization**

## UNIFI consortium

#### **Leadership**

Establish management & governance structure to support sustained US leadership in GFM tech

Develop/Update Interoperability Guidelines and Functional Requirements

#### **Advisory Board** SETO + WETO + OE Industry + Academia ESIG + GPST





## **Integration and Validation -- Focus**





## **Integration and Validation – Scope**

- **1. Testing infrastructure and IBR baseline characterization**
	- Develop and document experimental capabilities for unit and systems-level characterization GFM
	- Baseline capabilities of current GFM devices  $$ complement modeling, controls and hardware areas

#### **2. GFM IBRs in representative power systems**

- Evaluating R&D work product and quantifying improvements over baseline
- 3. 1**+MW scale multi-vendor experiments** 
	- Hardware demonstration for heterogeneous systems



### **1. Testing infrastructure and IBR baseline characterization**



## **2. Integration of GFM into Power Systems**

- **Develop testing protocols and scenarios for UNIFI Interoperability Guidelines and Functional Requirements**
	- Criteria for evaluating single units, aggregations of units, and heterogeneous systems
	- Specifications necessary for GFM IBRs to ensure seamless interoperability with the power system
- **Apply testing protocols to evaluate models and hardware/control prototypes produced by the other R&D Areas, or provided by industry partners**
	- Quantify the impact of GFM behavior in heterogenous systems
	- Consider both normal and contingency conditions over all time-scales
	- Evaluate Consortium proposed interoperability behaviors
	- Evaluate R&D products from across the Consortium



## **Hierarchical Categorization of GFM Use**

**Use Case Reference System Specificity** Concept in system analysis to broadly identify, clarify, and organize system requirements Apply innovations developed through the project to such systems to assess their value or to demonstrate their readiness for use by industry in commercially deployed systems. system to evaluate a case study. • GFM size • GFM Control (Control type, droop offset/slope, etc) GFM DC-source • Load characteristics (power factor, THD, machine/resistive/electronic composition) **Scenarios Technical Parameters** Test Plan: How/what you're measuring for a given scenario In-depth investigation of specific phenomena or capability a reference system that can be further studied May be several specific case studies for each use case, demonstrating the varying system configurations, challenges, and benefits. Operational systems (real or simulated) that can be evaluated for different scenarios/operation modes/asset mixes, etc. Can be analyzed entirely simulated environment, in the lab with combination of physical hardware and simulation, or in a deployed system

Single element of a system that can be measured, varied, or incorporated into a reference

## **3: 1MW Hardware Demo**

#### 1 MW Experiment - at NREL in Year 3

- Includes various physical sizes (250W-1MW)
- Three-phase, single-phase generation & loads
	- **GFM, GFL, & synchronous machines**
	- Comms interfaces (2030.5, SunSpec)
- Multiple source-side resources (PV, energy storage, wind (if possible))
- Coupled to PHIL to evaluate scales: 1MW microgrid to larger grids
- 50%, 75%, 90%, and 100% power contribution from GFM IBRs
- Network connections (LV and MV, overhead and conductors)
- Explore options to distribute demonstration amongst capabilities in multiple partner labs (ex. Via Real Time Simulation)
- Illustrate the *Interoperability Guidelines* at work with multiple vendors and wide variety of functionalities





## **1MW Demonstration**



## **Preliminary Testing Capability for 1 MW demo**

- Black start
- Loss of generation
- Phase unbalance
- **EXEC** Fault ride through
- Islanding (plan/unplanned) and reconnection
- Large inductive load
- GFL + GFM + rotating machine at various penetration
- Mix of three-phase and single-phase load
- **Frequency regulation (secondary control)**
- Voltage regulation (secondary control)
- Overload (individual GFM inverter)





## Grid Forming Inverter Hardware in Loop Evaluation







Jack Flicker, Rachid Darbali, Javier Hernandez, Matt Reno, Felipe Palacios, Nick Gurule

**UNIFI Seminar** 

**May 02, 2022**

#### ENERGY

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

# **St. Mary's, AK. Pop. 550 Peak load: 600 kW (winter) Min load: 150 kW Case Study: GFM for Alaska Villages**



**Pop. 820 Peak load: 500 kW (winter) Min load: 150 kW**



![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### GEM HILL Case Study: GI **GFM HIL Case Study: GFM for spinning reserve**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Goal:**

- Evaluate ability of GBS to reduce spinning reserve need of diesel
- 2. Compare 500 kW and 1MW sizes
- 3. Quantify power quality differences between diesel bank and diesel + GFM during contingencies

## <sup>14</sup> GFM HIL Case Study: GFM for spinning reserve

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Flicker, Jack, Javier Hernandez-Alvidrez, Mariko Shirazi, Jeremy Vandermeer, and William Thomson. "Grid Forming Inverters for Spinning Reserve in Hybrid Diesel Microgrids." In 2020 IEEE Power & Energy *Society General Meeting (PESGM)*, pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2020.

## **GFM HIL Case Study: GFM for spinning reserve**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

J. Hernandez-Alvidrez, R. Darbali-Zamora, J. D. Flicker, M. Shirazi and W. Thompson; "Using Energy Storage-Based Grid Forming Inverters for Operational Reserve in Hybrid Diesel Microgrids", MDPI-Energies, Special Issue: Sm Grids and Microgrids, pp 1-20, April 19, 2022.

#### 16 **Power Hardware in Loop of GFM**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **P-HIL testing of GFM can result in instability**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Highly distorted waveform in low inertia systems
	- Noise Amplification
		- electromagnetic coupling of the devices
		- physical wiring
		- measurement sensors
	- Quantification Error
	- Magnetization current from internal transformer
	- Calibration

#### 18 **Filtering is needed to maintain stability**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Introduces *phase shift* and reactive power injection into the RTsimulation, can lead to instability
- Requires **Lead Compensator**
- Works well in **GFL** HIL testing

A. Summers, J. Hernandez-Alvidrez, R. Darbali-Zamora, M. Reno, J. Johnson, and N. Gurule, *Comparison of Ideal Transformer Method and Damping Impedance Method for PV Power-Hardware-In-The-Loop Experiments*. 2019.

**Band Width** 

Phase shift

Frequency(HZ)

(Logarithmic scale)

Frequency(HZ)

 $fc(LP)$ 

Phase

 $0<sup>o</sup>$ 

 $-45°$ 

 $-90°$ 

#### **P-HIL testing of GFM can result in instability**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 20 **Filtering is needed to maintain stability**

Real Time Simulation

**(b)**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Filtering is needed to maintain stability**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)