

The CABLE land surface model in ACCESS

Tilo Ziehn 14th May 2018

OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE FLAGSHIP www.csiro.au



What is CABLE?

- Community Atmosphere Biosphere Land Exchange
- Australian community land surface model for stand-alone (offline) and online use
- Coupled to
 - global models: ACCESS (UM-atmosphere), CCAM, Mk3L
 - regional models: WRF (via NASA's Land Information System)
 - air pollution model: TAPM
- Open source, but user registration required to access code repository
- Around 120 users with CABLE repository access from 21 Australian institutions and 14 other countries



CABLE timeline

1990: First land surface model developed in CSIRO.

- 1997: Another model Soil Canopy Atmosphere Model (SCAM) -developed with an empirical photosynthesis model
- 1998: Two-leaf (sunlit, shaded) canopy model developed
- 2003: CSIRO Biosphere Model (CBM) developed
- 2006: CBM and SCAM combined to form CABLE version 1.0. Last released update v1.4b in Sep 2008.
- 2010: CASA-CNP, a global biogeochemical model of C, N and P developed
- 2012: CABLE2.0 released. CABLEv1.8 in ACCESS1.3 for CMIP5. CABLE roadmap.
- 2014: CABLE2.2.3 in ACCESS-ESM1 / ACCESS1.4
- 2015: CABLE becomes Open Source

CABLE Wiki page, trac page with ticketing system (https://trac.nci.org.au/trac/cable/wiki) Main technical documentation in: Kowalczyk et al., CMAR tech report, 2006; Wang et al., BG, 2010; Wang et al., JGR, 2011.



CABLE components



- 1. Radiation
- Canopy micrometeorology 2.
- 3 Surface flux
- Soil and snow
- **Ecosystem respiration**
- Dynamics of carbon pools (CASA-CNP)

CSIRC



ACCESS components/versions



ACCESS-1.3: land climate assessment



AMIP

Coupled

Kowalczyk et al, AMOJ, 63, 65-82, 2013.



ACCESS-1.3: land climate assessment for Australia





Seasonal Mean Diurnal Amplitude of Screen Temperature (deg K)

Kowalczyk et al, AMOJ, 63, 65-82, 2013.



ACCESS-ESM1: simulations and results

https://accessdev.nci.org.au/trac/wiki/access/ACCESS_ESM1_catalogue

1. <u>Concentration driven (prescribed atmospheric CO₂)</u>

- a. Pre-industrial control run: 1000 years with PresLAI, 1000 years with ProgLAI
- b. Historical simulations: PresLAI and 3 ensemble members for ProgLAI
- c. Future Scenarios: RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for PresLAI and ProgLAI, 3 ensemble members for ProgLAI
- d. Climate Sensitivity and feedback analysis: 1% and 4xCO₂ for ProgLAI
- e. Sensitivity to aerosols: no anthropogenic aerosols for ProgLAI, 3 ensemble members

2. <u>Emission driven (prescribed CO₂ emissions)</u>

- a. Pre-industrial control run: 200 years for ProgLAI
- b. Historical simulation for ProgLAI
- c. Future Scenarios: RCP8.5 for ProgLAI

The carbon cycle in the Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator (ACCESS-ESM1) – Part 1: Model description and pre-industrial simulation

Rachel M. Law¹, Tilo Ziehn¹, Richard J. Matear², Andrew Lenton², Matthew A. Chamberlain², Lauren E. Stevens¹, Ying-Ping Wang¹, Jhan Srbinovsky¹, Daohua Bi¹, Hailin Yan^{1,a}, and Peter F. Vohralik³

The carbon cycle in the Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator (ACCESS-ESM1) – Part 2: Historical simulations

 $\textbf{Tilo Ziehn}^1, \textbf{Andrew Lenton}^2, \textbf{Rachel M. Law}^1, \textbf{Richard J. Matear}^2, \textbf{and Matthew A. Chamberlain}^2$



ACCESS-ESM1: assessment of allowable emissions

Fossil + land-use = Prescribed atmospheric increase + land uptake + ocean uptake



	IAM	ACCESS
Historical	469 PgC	456 PgC
RCP2.6	381 PgC	202 PgC
RCP4.5	807 PgC	559 PgC
RCP8.5	1971 PgC	1471 PgC

Nutrient limitation in ACCESS-ESM1 results in lower allowable emissions than other models. Greater emission reductions required to stay below 2°C warming.



ACCESS-ESM1: impact of anthropogenic aerosols



Net ecosystem exchange (+ve source to atmosphere, -ve sink)

Cumulative land carbon uptake since 1850

Black: control, red: no anthropogenic aerosol

What's driving difference? Not direct impact of aerosols on carbon. Impact through climate. Temperature? Precipitation? Radiation (direct/diffuse)? Note: Prescribed atmospheric CO₂



ACCESS-ESM1: carbon cycle reversibility experiment



- Land becomes neutral soon after CO₂ removal, ocean remains source even after CO₂ removal
- When is equilibrium reached again? Regional differences?

ACCESS-ESM1.5 and CMIP6

Improvements/Changes

- Improved land carbon conservation
- Land use and land cover change capability
- Improved prognostic LAI (and arctic sea ice)
- MOM5
- Participation in CMIP6
 - Spin-up runs commenced (with CMIP5 forcing)
 - Committed to DECK runs (i.e. control run, 1%CO₂ increase, 4xCO₂, historical run)
 - MIPS: Scenario MIP, C4MIP, CDR-MIP, (GeoMIP)

Computational requirements

- Runs on raijin using 384 cores
- Approx. 3h for 1 simulation year (max. of 8 simulation years per day)
- N96 resolution for atmosphere (1.25°x1.875°), 38 levels
- Ocean: 1°, 50 levels

ProgLAI ACCESS-ESM1



LAI observations



ProgLAI ACCESS-ESM1.5





Summary and conclusions

- CABLE is a state-of-the art land surface model (but other models are catching up / getting ahead)
- CABLE performs well in ACCESS
- New CABLE developments need to consider ACCESS application (not just offline)
- ACCESS-ESM requires CABLE with biogeochemistry component CASA-CNP
- ACCESS-ESM1 well tested and documented
- ACCESS-ESM1.5 finalized and preparation for CMIP6 commenced
- ACCESS-ESM2 development (based on ACCESS-CM2) in near future



Thank you

Earth System Modelling Tilo Ziehn Research Scientist

t +61 3 9239 4560

e tilo.ziehn@csiro.au

OCEAN AND ATMOSPHERE FLAGSHIP www.csiro.au



Atmospheric CO₂





What's driving the difference?

• Offline CABLE runs with imposed global land temperature anomaly



Magnitude of land carbon uptake different between ACCESS and offline, but change in uptake very similar



Emissions driven results - climate

Control

noAA

CSIR



Black: prescribed atmospheric CO₂ (ensemble mean). Red: Emissions-driven, interactive CO₂

Emissions driven results – carbon uptake



Black: prescribed atmospheric CO₂ (ensemble mean). Red: Emissions-driven, interactive CO₂



ACCESS with MOSES or CABLE comparison



DJF: more variable year to year, differences mainly driven by snow process representation JJA: more consistent year to year, differences from canopy representation and moisture fluxes/clouds

Kowalczyk, E. A. et al., Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2771–2791, 2016.

ACCESS-ESM1: developing and testing new code

- Inclusion of land carbon cycle and interactive leaf area index (ProgLAI)
 - Overestimate of evergreen needleleaf vegetation
 - Warmer temperature in northern highlatitudes
 - Reduced Arctic sea-ice



Temperature difference (ProgLAI – PresLAI)





