Thermal comfort and adaptation strategies of home occupants in Darwin

Dr Shokhida Safarova

Thermal comfort study

The objectives of this study were to investigate:

- indoor climatic conditions;
- thermal comfort of the occupants;
- the control of indoor environment; and
- adaptation strategies preferred by occupants

in houses designed to meet NatHERS energy efficiency requirements.

Study area



Google Earth 2023

Study area

• Muirhead



• Lyons

Examples of houses in Muirhead



Single storey, concrete slab on ground, blockwork walls, colourbond roof

Single storey, concrete slab on-ground, fibro clad framed insulated walls

Examples of houses in Lyons





Single storey, concrete slab on ground, blockwork walls, colourbond roof

Elevated house, insulated custom orb metal roof and walls, high ceiling

Local climate



Study participants

	Build-up	Wet	Dry
Number of participants	61	44	36
6 to 7 star	31	24	18
4 to 5 star	30	20	18
Age group			
25-34	18	16	11
35-44	21	16	12
45-54	7	6	6
55-64	10	2	5
65+	5	4	2
Tenure			
Own	25	23	27
Rent	14	9	9

Setup of the data logger



Thermal comfort survey

Scale	Thermal sensation	Air humidity	Air velocity
-3	Cold	Very dry	Completely still
-2	Cool	Dry	Still
-1	Slightly cool	Slightly dry	Slightly still
0	Neither cool nor	Neither dry nor	Neither still nor
	warm	humid	breezy
1	Slightly warm	Slightly humid	Slightly breezy
2	Warm	Humid	Breezy
3	Hot	Very humid	Very breezy

Scale	Thermal preference	Air humidity	Air movement
-1	Cooler	Less dry	Less air moveement
0	No change	No change	No change
1	Warmer	Less humid	More air movement

Survey results: Build-up

Air-conditioning	On		Off	
Star rating	4 to 5 star	6 to 7 star	4 to 5 star	6 to 7 star
Indoor climatic environment				
T_a (°C)	30.0	28.4	31.9	31.7
RH (%)	51	51	62	64
$V_m (m/s)$	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
Thermal sensation				
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	75	79	55	88
Satisfied (%)	88	79	64	88
Preference (% no change)	50	57	32	25
Humidity				
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	100	86	42	60
Satisfied (%)	83	86	47	53
Preference (%no change)	67	64	16	13
Air movement				
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	88	100	78	100
Satisfied (%)	88	100	65	94
Preference (% no change)	75	79	43	71

Survey results: Wet season

On		Off	
4 to 5 star	6 to 7 star	4 to 5 star	6 to 7 star
29.4	29.7	31.6	30.7
57	50	70	73
0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3
86	81	50	100
100	69	67	88
57	50	25	25
83	76	30	43
67	53	30	29
50	53	10	14
100	81	92	63
75	88	92	75
50	56	58	63
	On 4 to 5 star 29.4 57 0.3 86 100 57 83 67 50 100 75 50	On 4 to 5 star 6 to 7 star 29.4 29.7 57 50 0.3 0.2 86 81 100 69 57 50 50 53 100 53 100 81 75 88 50 56	OnOff4 to 5 star6 to 7 star4 to 5 star29.429.731.65750700.30.20.486815010069675750258376306753305053101008192758892505658

Survey results: Dry season

Air-conditioning	Off		
Star rating	4 to 5 star	6 to 7 star	
Indoor climatic environment			
T_a (C)	28.3	28.5	
RH (%)	50	54	
$V_m (m/s)$	0.2	0.3	
Thermal sensation			
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	78	72	
Satisfied (%)	94	94	
Preference (% no change)	72	78	
Humidity			
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	71	57	
Satisfied (%)	71	74	
Preference (%no change)	79	87	
Air movement			
Sensation ($\%$ score $-1,0,1$)	81	94	
Satisfied (%)	67	94	
Preference (% no change)	48	78	

Thermal Comfort Survey



Fig. 2. Distribution of indoor climatic measurements in air-conditioned rooms (a) and in naturally ventilated rooms (b) overlaid on the psychrometric chart for Darwin at 101 hPa: the black dashed line boundary is for comfort zone 1 at < 0.2 m/s air movement, and unbroken line boundary is for comfort zone 2 (1 m/s air movement). Each point represents multiple votes collected in the same room. The blue lines identify the comfort zone at air velocities between 0.2 m/s and 1 m/s, 0.35 clo and 1 met. The blue broken line identifies a boundary between comfort zone for 0.2 m/s and 1 m/s in accordance with ASHRAE Standard 55-2020 based on the CBE Thermal Comfort Tool [58], it is accepted that mean radiant temperature is equal to dry bulb temperature.

Control strategies



Adaptation strategies



References

- S. Safarova, E. Halawa, A. Campbell, L. Law, J. van Hoof, Pathways for optimal provision of thermal comfort and sustainability of residential housing in hot and humid tropics of Australia – A critical review, Indoor Built Environ. 27 (8) (2018) 1022–1040, <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1420326X17701805</u>.
- Safarova S, van Hoof J, Law L, Zander KK, Garnett ST. Thermal comfort in a tropical savanna climate: The case of home occupants in Darwin, Australia. Energy and Buildings. 2022 Jul 1;266:112074.